

Lawrence S. Levinson



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

E. R. Squibb & Sons Inc. P. O. Box 4000 Princeton, N. J. 08540

MAILING DATE DEC 4 1980

## NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

|             |                                       |   | D IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322.   |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|             | Column                                | , line  | , is printed in accordance with the record.  |
| `—          | (a) The chang                         | e referred to was ini                                 | nitialed and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.  |
|             | In column<br>in that the precis       | , line<br>e point of entry of th                      | , the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), the amendment was omitted.   |
| ,.          | Rule 121(b), wher                     | ein provision is mad                                  | , the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with de for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and cate new subject matter. |
| 4.          | Omission of the point that:           | oriority data from the                                | e patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119,   |
|             | (a) The priori                        | ty data was omitted                                   | from the oath, or declaration.   |
|             | (b) The claim                         | for priority was not                                  | t included in the application papers.  |
|             | (c) The certif                        | ied copy of the forei                                 | ign application was not filed.   |
| ;. <b>_</b> | The assignment d<br>of the base issue |   | e patent in accordance with PTO 76-13ь, submitted by applicant at time of payment  |
|             |                                       |   |  |
|             |                                       |   |  |
| ,. <b></b>  | words on top of c                     | , line<br>ertain pages were o<br>ppeared to be the pr | , the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently, obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office proper words.       |
| тні         | E REQUEST HAS BEEN                    | CHANGED AS SHO  | OWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:   |
| 1.          | Since it is not no of Fig(s).         | ormally the practice                                  | of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description as suggested by the examiner, will be included:  |

| 2.       | The error complained of in column<br>where the changes will be made.   | , line                    | ,occurred in column                                |                                | , line                                |
|----------|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 3.       | The assignment will be shown in accord   | dance with the Assignme   | nt Division records whi                            | ch read as fol                 | lows:                                 |
| 4.       | The change requested in  |                           | has been modified by                               |                                |                                       |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
| <b>~</b> |  | ·                         |  |                                |                                       |
| 1.       | The word   |                           |  |                                |                                       |
| 2.       | The alleged error in column On The style of the Invention Patent Manual.                                     | First Page                | s an editing change mad                            | le in accordan                 | ce with the                           |
| з. 🔲     | In column , line , line , be in accordance with the permissible .  |                           |  | by the examir                  | ner and considered t                  |
| 4.       | In the title, it is the practice to exclud   | e words such as "Improv   | vements in'', ''New'', et                          | c., from the p                 | rinted patent.                        |
| 5.       | Comparison of the patent in column   |                           | , with the correspo                                | onding locatio                 | n in the application                  |
| 6.       | The records of the Assignment Division records at the time of issuance.                                      | indicate that the paten   | t has been printed in ac                           | cordance with                  | the assignment                        |
| 7.       | The numbering of the claims in the prin examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.                               |                           | nce with the renumbering                           | 3 of dependen                  | t claims by the                       |
| 8.       | The alleged error in column allowance. Since no error is involved the requested change will not be included. | and since applicant filed | s a change made in an E<br>no objection prior to p | examiner's Am<br>ayment of the | endment at time of<br>base issue fee, |
| 9.       | The error complained of in columnreports the following:  | , line                    | cannot be corrected                                | since the Gr                   | oup Director                          |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
| _        |  | •                         |  |                                |                                       |
| отні     | ER   |                           |  |                                |                                       |
|          |  |                           |  |                                |                                       |
|          |  | /                         |  |                                | •                                     |
| Lette    | M. Cleeatham   | Plusy                     |  |                                |                                       |
| Si       | upervisor, Certificates of Correction Branc  | :h                        |  |                                |                                       |

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.